

**To:** Beeler, Cindy[Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov]  
**From:** Rothery, Deirdre  
**Sent:** Wed 12/23/2015 9:02:55 PM  
**Subject:** RE: U&O FIP

Hi Cindy,

Carl is asking that we include a percentage of total gas from the basin, what percentage of the total gas is the 1.8 billion cubic feet?

Thanks,

Dee

**From:** Beeler, Cindy  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 23, 2015 11:33 AM  
**To:** Ostendorf, Jody <ostendorf.jody@epa.gov>; Rothery, Deirdre <Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov>; Smith, Claudia <Smith.Claudia@epa.gov>; Dresser, Chris <Dresser.Chris@epa.gov>; Gilbert, Alexas <Gilbert.Alexas@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Daly, Carl <Daly.Carl@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: U&O FIP

Jody –

Attached are my comments on that 4<sup>th</sup> bullet –see if that's what you were looking for.

All –

I reckon we should get a bullet in there that addresses the gas conserved as a result of this proposed rule:

- Some of the requirements of this proposed rule, such as retrofit of high-bleed pneumatics and leak detection and repair, result in natural gas being conserved and sent to market. EPA estimates that over 1.8 billion cubic feet of gas per year would be returned to market. This is enough natural gas to meet the energy needs of more than 78,000 U.S. homes annually.

**Cindy Beeler**

US EPA Region 8, Energy Advisor  
Office of the Regional Administrator  
Tel: 303-312-6204  
[Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov](mailto:Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov)

*CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients and may contain Confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.*

**From:** Ostendorf, Jody  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 22, 2015 11:32 AM  
**To:** Rothery, Deirdre; Beeler, Cindy; Smith, Claudia; Dresser, Chris; Gilbert, Alexas  
**Cc:** Daly, Carl  
**Subject:** RE: U&O FIP

Hi All,

Please find attached draft talking points regarding the designation schedule vs. FIP schedule/effective date. Is there a better way to soften the fourth bullet, i.e. that the FIP controls will not be in place soon enough to impact the final designations classifications?

I appreciate your comments/suggestions.

Thanks,

Jody

Jody Ostendorf

State Implementation Plan Program Manager

Uinta Basin Project Coordinator

Air Quality Planning Unit (8P-AR)

1595 Wynkoop Street

Denver, CO 80202-1129

303.312.7814

**From:** Rothery, Deirdre

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 22, 2015 9:10 AM

**To:** Beeler, Cindy <[Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov](mailto:Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov)>; Smith, Claudia <[Smith.Claudia@epa.gov](mailto:Smith.Claudia@epa.gov)>;  
Dresser, Chris <[Dresser.Chris@epa.gov](mailto:Dresser.Chris@epa.gov)>; Gilbert, Alexas <[Gilbert.Alexas@epa.gov](mailto:Gilbert.Alexas@epa.gov)>;  
Ostendorf, Jody <[ostendorf.jody@epa.gov](mailto:ostendorf.jody@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Daly, Carl <[Daly.Carl@epa.gov](mailto:Daly.Carl@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** U&O FIP

Hi there,

As you know, we had a consultation with the Ute Tribe last week on Deseret and the U&O FIP. There will be a follow up consultation in early January (targeting the week of January 11<sup>th</sup>), to further discuss the FIP. In preparation for that meeting, we need to put together some talking points, which I am working on. However, it would be helpful if I could get a few bullets from you all to justify the FIP. Cost was one item that was brought up in the consultation (cost analysis, economic benefits, overall costs, push back from companies due to low oil prices), so a

few bullets on what the costs are and where we got the data (based on national rules, Colorado rules etc) from would be helpful, expected AQ improvements, details on designation schedule vs. FIP schedule/effective date. Jody and Cindy, did I miss anything? I would need the bullets, at the latest, by January 4<sup>th</sup>, since we will need to get them to Shaun a week in advance of the consultation.

Thanks,

Dee